

NICOLAUS COPERNICUS ASTRONOMICAL CENTER, Warsaw, Poland

No. 291

January 1995

hep-ph/9502310

**Equation of State and Temperature of First Heavy Particles  
Arising in the Universe at the Grand Unification Scale**

I. G. Dymnikova

*Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center  
Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland*

*N. Copernicus Foundation for the Polish Astronomy  
Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland*

M. Krawczyk

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University  
Hoza 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland*

Submitted to "Astrophysics and Space Science"

# EQUATION OF STATE AND TEMPERATURE OF FIRST HEAVY PARTICLES ARISING IN THE UNIVERSE AT THE GRAND UNIFICATION SCALE

I. Dymnikova

*N. Copernicus Astronomical Center  
Bartycka 18, PL-00-716 Warsaw, Poland*

*N. Copernicus Foundation for Polish Astronomy  
Al. Ujazdowskie 4, PL-00-478 Warsaw, Poland*

M. Krawczyk

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University  
Hoza 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland*

## Abstract

We consider first heavy particles with masses  $M \sim M_{GUT}$  which arise in the Universe during phase transitions at the Grand Unification scale. Using statistical mechanics approach we show that they behave like ideal quantum degenerate Bose gas which has the temperature of the Hawking thermal radiation due to presence of the de Sitter event horizon. The equation of state for both scalar and gauge bosons is presented including the coupling constant at the Grand Unification scale.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb; 04.20.Cv; 97.60.Lf; 98.80.Cq

According to Grand Unified theories, first phase transitions occur at the Grand Unification scale  $E_{GUT} \sim (10^{14} - 10^{16}) GeV$ , and the first particles which are scalar and gauge bosons get masses of order  $M \sim M_{GUT}$  via spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB). In the context of inflationary models related to a symmetry-breaking phase transition, scalar field reaches the minimum of its effective potential at the end of inflation. A vacuum energy then exists in the form of coherent oscillations of a scalar field around its minimum, corresponding to a condensate of zero-momentum  $\phi$  particles with masses  $M \sim M_{GUT}$  <sup>1</sup>. In models with chaotic inflation coherent oscillations of scalar field are described by a dust equation of state corresponding to massive particles at rest <sup>2</sup>.

In the present paper we consider first heavy nonrelativistic particles using statistical mechanics as the model-free tool. Such an approach allows to reveal characteristic features of their behaviour which would not depend crucially on details of particular inflationary scenarios.

The first phase transition can be related to the global discrete SSB, because the arrow of time is expected to appear then <sup>3</sup>. Hence scalar bosons can arise first in the Universe. Heavy nonrelativistic gauge bosons are expected to emerge next via the local SSB.

These particles seem to be really first particles in the Universe, in accordance with the Turner "No-Hair Theorem": "Inflation greatly lessens dependence of the present state of the Universe upon its initial state"<sup>2</sup>.

This statement goes back to the Sakharov's<sup>4</sup> and Gliner's<sup>5</sup> suggestion, formulated in 1965, that the vacuum state

$$p = -\varepsilon \quad (1)$$

can be the limiting state at superhigh densities, and to the Gliner's 1970 suggestion<sup>6</sup> that it can be an initial state for the expanding Universe. The cosmological model describing evolution of the Universe starting from the initial vacuum state (1) has been calculated in 1975. Using simple phenomenological equation of state for transition from an inflationary stage (1) to radiation-dominated stage  $p = \varepsilon/3$ , it has been shown that the de Sitter vacuum state (1) provides both reason for expansion and isotropy and homogeneity of the Universe, independently on mechanisms responsible for its arising<sup>7</sup>.

In 1981 Guth has found that the equation of state (1) can arise in Grand Unified Theories as the equation of state for a scalar field in a metastable state called the false vacuum<sup>8</sup>. Since 1981 most attention was focused on constructing physical mechanisms driving inflation and providing further reheating of the Universe (for current status of reheating problem see<sup>9</sup> and references there).

On the other hand, in the context of SSB, the very first postinflationary material can be made up from heavy nonrelativistic particles with masses  $M \sim M_{GUT}$ .

Our aim is to assess its characteristic properties which would be connected with main physical features of inflation and would not depend on details of particular models.

The energy scale of first SSB phase transitions is  $E \sim E_{GUT}$ . At the beginning and during phase transitions the Universe is expanding in the superluminal way<sup>1</sup>. Therefore first heavy particles emerge as test particles in the vacuum (1) with the density

$$\rho_{vac} \sim \rho_{GUT} = \rho_{Planck} \left( \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{Planck}} \right)^4 \quad (2)$$

They can interact within the causally connected region confined by the de Sitter event horizon

$$a_0 = \left( \frac{3c^2}{8\pi G \rho_{vac}} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{3}{8\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E_{Pl}}{E_{GUT}} \right)^2 l_{Pl} \quad (3)$$

This can be the reason why *heavy* particles arise first. Their Compton wavelength

$$\lambda \sim \frac{\hbar}{Mc} \sim \left( \frac{E_{Pl}}{E_{GUT}} \right) l_{Pl} \quad (4)$$

can not exceed radius of causally connected region (3).

The lower limit for the particles kinetic energy follows from the uncertainty relation as

$$E_{min} \sim \frac{p^2}{M_{GUT}} \sim \frac{8\pi}{3} \left( \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{Pl}} \right)^2 E_{GUT}, \quad (5)$$

where  $p \sim \hbar/a_0$ . For  $E_{GUT} \sim 10^{15} GeV$  we have

$$\rho_{GUT} \sim 10^{77} g/cm^3; \quad a_0 \sim 10^{-25} cm; \quad \lambda \sim 10^{-29} cm; \quad E_{min} \sim 10^7 GeV \quad (6)$$

We can estimate the upper limit for  $E_{kin}$  taking into account that newborn particles will immediately "see" the Hawking thermal radiation due to presence of the de Sitter event horizon. Its temperature is determined by the Hawking formula <sup>10</sup>

$$kT_H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{l_{Pl}}{a_0} \right) E_{Pl} = \left( \frac{2}{3\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{Pl}} \right) E_{GUT} \sim 10^{-4} E_{GUT} \sim 10^{11} GeV, \quad (7)$$

where  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant.

Since the upper limit for kinetic energy  $E_{max} \sim kT_H \ll E_{GUT}$ , one can not expect that particles have large momenta. Therefore the CM energy of their collisions  $\sqrt{s}$  is close to the sum of rest energies of the colliding particles  $\sqrt{s} \sim 2E$ . As a result the dominating interactions are due to elastic  $2 \rightarrow 2$  body scatterings.

For the first scalar particles, which are selfinteracting, we can estimate characteristic radius of interaction. Since particles mediating interaction are the same scalars with masses  $M_{sc}$ , the cross section depending on the coupling constant  $g_{sc}$  is determined by

$$\sigma_{int} \sim \frac{\alpha_{sc}^2}{M_{sc}^2} \sim \alpha_{sc}^2 \lambda^2, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\alpha_{sc} = g_{sc}^2/4\pi$$

The characteristic radius of interaction (defined by the relation  $\sigma_{int} \sim r_{int}^2$ )

$$r_{int} \sim \alpha_{sc} \lambda \quad (9)$$

is smaller than  $\lambda$ , if  $\alpha_{sc}$  is smaller than unity. For heavy gauge bosons (X,Y) emerging via the local SSB, with masses  $M_x \sim M_{GUT}$ , the coupling constant  $\alpha_x$  is estimated as <sup>11,12</sup>

$$\alpha_x = g_x^2/4\pi \sim 1/40 - 1/25 \quad (10)$$

Thus, for heavy gauge bosons  $r_{int} < \lambda$  due to (10). It is rather natural to expect that  $\alpha_{sc} \sim \alpha_x$  (in SUSY case  $g_{sc} = g_x$ ), then the cross-section (8) is small enough for particle-particle interaction to produce a temperature exceeding (7).

With the upper limit on kinetic energy given by (7), we obtain the ratio

$$\frac{E_{kin}}{E_{GUT}} \leq \frac{E_{max}}{E_{GUT}} \sim \left( \frac{2}{3\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{Pl}} \right) \sim 10^{-4} \quad (11)$$

This quantity characterizes the accuracy with which we can consider first heavy particles as a dust with the equation of state  $p = 0$ .

In order to proceed further we should make sure that we have ensemble of particles to apply statistics. This is just the moment when we need cosmological model of transition.

There is no generally accepted detailed theory now, describing a transition from the inflationary stage of the Universe evolution to a postinflationary stage (see, e.g., <sup>9</sup>). To make our estimates as model-free as possible, we describe a transition from the vacuum state (1) to the dust stage, using the simple phenomenological equation of state which is not related to a particular inflationary model and contains least possible number of arbitrary parameters (it has been used in 1975 to connect the inflationary onset of the Universe with the standard scenario of its evolution afterwards). It has the form <sup>7,13</sup>

$$p + \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \frac{(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon)^\beta}{(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1)^\beta} \quad (12)$$

At  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$  it gives the vacuum equation of state (1). At  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$  it gives the dust equation of state  $p = 0$ . A parameter  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  characterizes the rate of a transition.

Integrating the Friedmann equations with the transitional equation (12), we can describe evolution of a scale factor and energy density in the analytic form in the cases  $\beta \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\beta \ll 1$  and  $\beta = 1/2$ . For  $\beta = 1/2$ , we obtain the scale factor

$$a = a_0 \exp \left( A \sin \frac{ct}{Aa_0} \right), \quad (13a)$$

where  $A = 2\sqrt{(1 - \varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_0)}/(3\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_0)$ . Evolution of energy density during a transition is given by

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left( 1 - \sin^2 \frac{ct}{Aa_0} \right) \quad (13b)$$

In the case  $\beta \ll 1$  the scale factor evolves as

$$a = a_0 \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0}) \frac{ct}{a_0} \right) \quad (14a)$$

and energy density as

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left( 3 \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{ct}{a_0} - 1 \right)^2 \quad (14b)$$

To estimate the duration of transition  $t_1$ , we use the assumption that the whole (or almost whole) rest mass has been created during phase transitions at the Grand Unification scale in the form of heavy particles with masses  $M \sim M_{GUT}$ , which later have decayed into some more light species, but no (or almost no) new rest mass has been created during reheating and during further expansion of the Universe. This assumption looks natural because in the course of reheating ultrarelativistic particles can be created whose total energy in any case can not exceed the characteristic energy  $E \sim E_{GUT}$  and whose rest mass energy would be therefore much smaller than this quantity.

Applying condition of conservation of the rest mass  $a_1^3 \rho_1 = a_{today}^3 \rho_{today}$ , we obtain

$$\rho_1 \sim (1/65) \rho_{GUT}; \quad a_1 \sim 10^{-6} cm; \quad t_1 \sim 2 \times 10^{-34} s \quad (15)$$

for  $\rho_{today} \sim 10^{-29} g/cm^3$ . These estimates do not depend crucially on the choice of the value of the parameter  $\beta$  in the equation (12). The most noticeable difference takes place for the parameter  $\rho_1$  which at  $\beta \ll 1$  takes the value  $\rho_1 \sim (1/120)\rho_{GUT}$ . (The case  $\beta \rightarrow 1$  corresponds to the power-law inflation.)

The transition into the dust implies that the whole rest mass ( $M_1 \sim 2 \times 10^{57} g$ ) is contained in particles with mass  $M_{GUT} \sim 2 \times 10^{-9} g$ . The number of particles is  $N_1 \sim 10^{66}$ . The volume at the end of transition is  $V_1 \sim 4 \times 10^{-18} cm^3$ , hence density of particles is

$$n = \frac{N_1}{V_1} \sim 10^{84} cm^{-3} \quad (16)$$

Using the Friedmann equations and formulae (13)-(14), we can check total energy balance and find that only about 1/4 of vacuum energy involved in transition has been spent. The substantial amount remains available for further expansion and reheating. Therefore the Universe is still mainly vacuum dominated with  $\rho_{vac} \sim 0.7\rho_{GUT}$ , and particles can interact within the causally connected regions confined by the de Sitter horizon (3). The number of particles in such a region is  $N \sim 10^{10}$ . Hence, we have an ensemble and can use statistics.

According to (16), the mean distance between particles is

$$r_{av} \sim 10^{-28} cm \quad (17)$$

The characteristic radius of interaction (9) is much smaller than  $r_{av}$  and smaller than  $\lambda$  (for  $\alpha_s < 1$  and  $\alpha_x < 1$ ). Therefore the free path  $l$  is determined by geometrical cross section  $\sigma_0 \sim \pi\lambda^2$  (in hard balls approximation with  $\lambda$  as characteristic size of particles) as

$$l \sim \frac{1}{n\pi\lambda^2} \sim 3 \times 10^{-27} cm \quad (18)$$

As a result our particles satisfy two general criteria for ideal gas:

$$nr_{int}^3 \ll 1 \quad r_{int} \ll l$$

On the other hand,  $l \ll a_0$  which means that in causally connected regions particles can collide, and their equation of state would differ from dust equation of state  $p = 0$ .

Using the upper limit for kinetic energy put by (7), we can estimate the lower limit for the de Broglie wavelength as

$$\lambda_{deBroglie} \sim \frac{\hbar}{p_H} \sim 10^{-27} cm \quad (19)$$

Since  $\lambda_{deBroglie} > r_{av}$ , our ideal gas is essentially quantum one. Number of particles is much higher than the number of quantum states they can populate:

$$\left( \frac{\lambda_{deBroglie}}{r_{av}} \right)^3 = \frac{N_1}{V_1} \cdot \frac{\hbar^3}{p^3} = \frac{N_1}{(V_1 p^3)/\hbar^3} \sim n \frac{\hbar^3}{(MkT_H)^{3/2}} \gg 1 \quad (20)$$

The temperature of degeneration for ideal quantum Bose gas is determined by <sup>14</sup>

$$kT_{deg} = 3.31 \frac{\hbar^2}{g_{st}^{2/3} M} n^{2/3} \quad (21)$$

Here  $g_{st} = 2s + 1$  is statistical weight, with  $s = 0$  for scalar and  $s = 1$  for vector bosons.

For our gas  $kT_{deg} \sim 10^{14} GeV$ . Because it interacts with the Hawking thermal radiation its temperature could be close to  $T_H$ . Let us show that this is the case. Interaction of thermal radiation (7) with nonrelativistic heavy small particles would be essentially the Thomson scattering, because the condition for low-frequency limit

$$\frac{E_{kin}}{Mc^2} \frac{\hbar\nu}{Mc^2} \sim \left( \frac{kT_H}{E} \right)^2 \ll 1$$

is satisfied. In our case the Thomson cross section can be written as

$$\sigma_T = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{\alpha^2 \hbar^2}{M^2 c^2} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \alpha^2 \lambda^2 \quad (22)$$

with  $\alpha$  for both  $\alpha_{sc}$  and  $\alpha_x$ . The time for achieving thermal equilibrium between particles and radiation is the relaxation time

$$\tau_{rel}^{p\gamma} = \frac{1}{n\sigma_T c} \sim 5 \times 10^{-35} s \quad (23)$$

Because  $\tau_{rel}^{p\gamma} < t_1$ , thermal equilibrium can be achieved during a transition, and particles can acquire the Hawking temperature  $T_H$ . Since  $T_H \ll T_{deg}$ , we have *ideal quantum degenerate Bose gas* with the equation of state <sup>14</sup>

$$P = 0.0851 g_{st} \frac{M^{3/2}}{\hbar^3} (kT_H)^{5/2} \quad (24)$$

We see that the process of emerging of particles looks like evaporation of a Bose condensate.

Phenomenological cosmological model (12) we use, describes a transition which has fixed both beginning and the end ( $p = 0$  at the certain moment  $t_1$ ). The same result has been obtained using smooth model with  $p = (\gamma(t) - 1)\varepsilon$ , where  $\gamma(t) \rightarrow 1$  at  $t \rightarrow \infty$  <sup>15</sup>. Therefore this result seems to be model-independent.

According to the standard approach (with selfinteraction  $\lambda\phi^4$ ,  $\lambda = g_{sc}^2$ ) for giving masses to heavy scalars via the global SSB <sup>1,12,16</sup>, we have

$$M_{sc} \sim g_{sc} v_I$$

where  $v_I$  is a vacuum expectation value which is expected to be  $v_I \sim M_{GUT}$ .

The local SSB is expected to give mass to the gauge bosons of the order

$$M_x \sim g_x v_{II}$$

Since both effects occur at similar energy scale  $E \sim E_{GUT}$ , one can expect that  $v_{II} \sim M_{GUT}$ . (Note, that in SUSY case  $v_I = v_{II}$  and  $g_s = g_x$ .) Hence the equation of state for both scalar and gauge bosons would be the same up to the constant:

$$P = const_{(sc,x)} g_{(sc,x)}^{3/2} \rho_{GUT} c^2 \left( \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{Pl}} \right)^{5/2} \quad (25)$$

The estimates presented here do not depend crucially on the choice of GUT scale as  $10^{15} GeV$ . The scale  $10^{16} GeV$  can be chosen (which is preferable by SUSY models). It is also possible to have both phase transitions at the same time <sup>17</sup>.

We can also estimate characteristic relaxation time for particle-particle (pp) collisions during a transition and compare it with the duration of a transition  $t_1$ . This relaxation time can be determined as

$$\tau_{rel}^{pp} = \frac{1}{n \sigma_{int} v_T}, \quad (26)$$

where  $v_T$  is an average thermal velocity of particles. According to (7),

$$v_T = c \left( \frac{3kT_H}{mc^2} \right) = c \left( \frac{6}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{Pl}} \right)^{1/2} \sim 0.01c \quad (27)$$

Then

$$\tau_{rel}^{pp} \sim \frac{1}{n \alpha^2 \lambda^2 c} \left( \frac{E_{Pl}}{E_{GUT}} \right)^{1/2} \sim 10^{-32} s \quad (28)$$

It represents, in particular, estimate of characteristic timescale for annihilation. We see that  $\tau_{rel}^{pp} \gg t_1$ . Therefore equilibrium state for particles cannot be achieved during a transition. It could mean that appropriate situation would exist at the energy scale  $E \sim E_{GUT}$  for generating baryon asymmetry by the mechanism proposed by Sakharov <sup>3</sup> which implies nonstationary conditions in the absence of local thermodynamic equilibrium.

Calculating cosmological model with the equation of state (25) depending on GUT parameters, one will have a chance to extract some information concerning GUT physics from observational cosmological data, and compare it with accelerator's data. It would provide a way toward astronomical testing of GUT models.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to W. Krolikowski, M. Olechowski and Z. Płuciennik for helpful discussions. This research was supported in part by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research through Grant 2-1234-91-01.

## References

- 1 E.W.Kolb, M.S.Turner: 1990, *The Early Universe*, Addison-Wesley Publ.Co., New York.
- 2 M.S.Turner: 1992, *Inflation After COBE*, FERMILAB-Conf.92/313-A.

- 3 A.D.Sakharov: 1967, *Sov. Phys. Lett.* **5**, 24; 1991, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **34**, 417.
- 4 A.D.Sakharov: 1966, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **22**, 241.
- 5 E.B.Gliner: 1966, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **22**, 378.
- 6 E.B.Gliner: 1970, *Sov Phys. Dokl.* **15**, 559.
- 7 E.B.Gliner, I.G.Dymnikova: 1975, *Sov. Astr. Lett.* **1**, 93.
- 8 A.Guth: 1981, *Phys. Rev.* **D23**, 389.
- 9 L.Kofman, A.Linde, A.Starobinsky: 1994, *Reheating After Inflation*, UH-IFA-94/35; SU-ITP-94-13; YITP/U-94-15.
- 10 G.W.Gibbons, S.W.Hawking: 1977, *Phys. Rev.* **D15**, 2738.
- 11 V.Amaldi *et al.*: 1991, *Phys. Lett.* **B260**, 447.
- 12 P.D.B.Collins, A.D.Martin, E.J.Squires: 1991, *Particle Physics and Cosmology*, John Wiley and Sons.
- 13 I.G.Dymnikova: 1986, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **63**, 1111.
- 14 L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifshitz: 1975, *Statistical Physics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- 15 S.Capozziello, I.Dymnikova, R.de Ritis, C.Rubano, and P. Scudellaro: 1994, submitted to *Phys. Lett. A*.
- 16 C.Quigg: 1983, *Gauge Theories of the Strong, Weak, and Electromagnetic Interactions*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co; T.-P. Cheng and L.-F. Li: 1984, *Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- 17 I. Dymnikova, M. Krawczyk: 1994, Equation of state for particles arising at the Universe at Grand Unification Energies, IFT/9/1994 (hep-ph/9405333); in *Birth of the Universe*, ed. F. Occhionero, Springer.